$$
\sqrt{T}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\boldsymbol{\beta} \\
\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}
\end{array}\right] \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0,\left[\begin{array}{cc}
R\left[R^{\prime}\left(\Gamma \otimes \Sigma_{u}^{-1}\right) R\right]^{-1} R^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & 2 \mathbf{D}_{K}^{+}\left(\Sigma_{u} \otimes \Sigma_{u}\right) \mathbf{D}_{K}^{+\prime}
\end{array}\right]\right),
$$

where $\mathbf{D}_{K}^{+}=\left(\mathbf{D}_{K}^{\prime} \mathbf{D}_{K}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{K}^{\prime}$ is, as usual, the Moore-Penrose inverse of the $\left(K^{2} \times K(K+1) / 2\right)$ duplication matrix $\mathbf{D}_{K}$.

Of course, we could have stated the proposition in terms of the joint distribution of $\widehat{\gamma}$ and $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}$ instead. In the following, the distribution given in the proposition will turn out to be more useful, though.

Both EGLS and ML estimation can be discussed in terms of the meanadjusted model considered in Section 3.3. However, the present discussion includes restrictions for the intercept terms in a convenient way. If the restrictions are equivalent in the different versions of the model, the asymptotic properties of the estimators of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}:=\operatorname{vec}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{p}\right)$ will not be affected. For instance, the asymptotic covariance matrix of $\sqrt{T}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})$, where $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ is the ML estimator, is just the lower right-hand ( $K^{2} p \times K^{2} p$ ) block of $R\left[R^{\prime}\left(\Gamma \otimes \Sigma_{u}^{-1}\right) R\right]^{-1} R^{\prime}$ from Proposition 5.5. If the sample means are subtracted from all variables and the constraints are given in the form $\alpha=R \gamma+r$ for a suitable matrix $R$ and vectors $\gamma$ and $r$, the covariance matrix of the asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{T}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}-\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left[R^{\prime}\left(\Gamma_{Y}(0) \otimes \Sigma_{u}^{-1}\right) R\right]^{-1} R^{\prime} \tag{5.2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{Y}(0):=\Sigma_{Y}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{t}\right)$ with $Y_{t}:=\left(y_{t}^{\prime}, \ldots, y_{t-p+1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$.

### 5.2.4 Constraints for Individual Equations

In practice, parameter restrictions are often formulated for the $K$ equations of the system (5.1.1) separately. In that case, it may be easier to write the restrictions in terms of the vector $\mathbf{b}:=\operatorname{vec}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ which contains the parameters of the first equation in the first $K p+1$ positions and those of the second equation in the second $K p+1$ positions etc. If the constraints are expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{b}=\bar{R} \mathbf{c}+\bar{r}, \tag{5.2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{R}$ is a known $\left(\left(K^{2} p+K\right) \times M\right)$ matrix of rank $M, \mathbf{c}$ is an unknown $(M \times 1)$ parameter vector, and $\bar{r}$ is a known $\left(K^{2} p+K\right)$-dimensional vector, the restricted EGLS and ML estimators of $\mathbf{b}$ and their properties are easily derived. We get the following proposition:

Proposition 5.6 (EGLS Estimator of Parameters Arranged Equationwise) Under the conditions of Proposition 5.2, if $\mathbf{b}=\operatorname{vec}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies (5.2.21), the EGLS estimator of $\mathbf{c}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\widehat{\mathbf{c}}}=\left[\bar{R}^{\prime}\left(\bar{\Sigma}_{u}^{-1} \otimes Z Z^{\prime}\right) \bar{R}\right]^{-1} \bar{R}^{\prime}\left(\bar{\Sigma}_{u}^{-1} \otimes Z\right)\left[\operatorname{vec}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)-\left(I_{K} \otimes Z^{\prime}\right) \bar{r}\right], \tag{5.2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

