

Student evaluation – School of business & economics

Lecturer: Prof. Dr. Mathias Trabandt


Study course: Master

Course: Macroeconomic Analysis

Number of participants: more than 50 participants


The evaluation is based on 59 questionnaires, type of course: Lecture

- The objectives were clearly defined at the beginning.
- The objectives defined were followed through consistently.
- The course is well structured.
- The lecturer explains things in a comprehensible manner.
- The content is grounded in theoretical and conceptual ideas.
- The lecturer has demonstrated the applicability of the content.
- The lecturer has clearly defined the examination requisites.
- The teaching material provided (course reader,...) is helpful.
- The use of media (beamer, ...) during the course is adequate.
- The lecturer is committed.
- The lecturer supports the interaction.
- Students' motivation to engage above and beyond the course.
- The course has added to my knowledge.
- The course has extended my abilities.




Statement	1	2	3	4	5	n.s.
The objectives were clearly defined at the beginning.	38	17	4	0	0	0
The objectives defined were followed through consistently.	46	13	0	0	0	0
The course is well structured.	45	9	5	0	0	0
The lecturer explains things in a comprehensible manner.	39	15	5	0	0	0
The content is grounded in theoretical and conceptual ideas.	45	12	1	0	0	1
The lecturer has demonstrated the applicability of the content.	36	13	9	1	0	0
The lecturer has clearly defined the examination requisites.	29	15	13	2	0	0
The teaching material provided (course reader,...) is helpful.	38	15	3	2	0	1
The use of media (beamer, ...) during the course is adequate.	44	13	1	0	0	1
The lecturer is committed.	56	3	0	0	0	0
The lecturer supports the interaction.	33	21	5	0	0	0
Students' motivation to engage above and beyond the course.	48	7	4	0	0	0
The course has added to my knowledge.	41	14	2	1	1	0
The course has extended my abilities.	30	16	5	3	1	4


I attended up to ... of the lectures.




On average I spent up to ... for preparing and reviewing the lectures.



Interest before the course	high	21	medium	32	low	6	n.s.	0
Interest after the course	higher	42	equal	12	lower	5	n.s.	0
Intellectual level of the course	too demanding	27	exactly right	32	too easy	0	n.s.	0



- Atmosphere: pleasant ↔ unpleasant
- Atmosphere: interesting ↔ boring
- Atmosphere: inspiring ↔ repugnant
- Atmosphere: encouraging ↔ discouraging
- Atmosphere: well organized ↔ chaotic



Statement	1	2	3	4	5	n.s.
Atmosphere: pleasant ↔ unpleasant	37	19	3	0	0	0
Atmosphere: interesting ↔ boring	36	16	6	1	0	0
Atmosphere: inspiring ↔ repugnant	29	21	5	4	0	0
Atmosphere: encouraging ↔ discouraging	35	15	7	2	0	0
Atmosphere: well organized ↔ chaotic	42	10	7	0	0	0

Recommendation of the course	without hesitation	46	with hesitation	11	not at all	1	n.s.	1
------------------------------	--------------------	----	-----------------	----	------------	---	------	---



Ability of the course for prize-winning.



Statement	1	2	3	4	5	n.s.
Ability of the course for prize-winning.	43	12	4	0	0	0

- Your course
- - - All master courses with more than 50 participants (384 filled questionnaires)
- Interquartile range (8 courses)