

References

- Abdallah, Monica; Farrauto, Robert (2023): A perspective on bridging academic research and advanced testing on a path towards pilot plant implementation: A case study of integrating CO₂ capture and catalytic conversion with dual function materials. In: *Catalysis Today* 423, S. 113923. DOI: 10.1016/j.cattod.2022.10.005.
- Alshumrani, Salha; Munir, Rahat; Baird, Kevin (2018): Organisational culture and strategic change in Australian local governments. In: *Local Government Studies* 44 (5), S. 601–623. DOI: 10.1080/03003930.2018.1481398.
- Andersson, Staffan; Ekelund, Helena (2022): Promoting Ethics Management Strategies in the Public Sector: Rules, Values, and Inclusion in Sweden. In: *Administration & Society* 54 (6), S. 1089–1116. DOI: 10.1177/00953997211050306.
- Andreasen, Kristian Peter; Sovacool, Benjamin K. (2015): Hydrogen technological innovation systems in practice: comparing Danish and American approaches to fuel cell development. In: *Journal of Cleaner Production* 94, S. 359–368. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.01.056.
- Ansell, Christopher; Sørensen, Eva; Torfing, Jacob (2023): Public administration and politics meet turbulence: The search for robust governance responses. In: *Public Administration* 101 (1), S. 3–22. DOI: 10.1111/padm.12874.
- Ansell, Christopher K. (2024): Robust governance in turbulent times. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (Cambridge elements. Elements in public policy).
- Arnulf Grübler; Francisco Aguayo; Kelly Gallagher; Marko Hekkert; Kejun Jiang; Lynn Mytelka et al. (2012): Policies for the Energy Technology Innovation System (ETIS). In: *Global Energy Assessment: Toward a Sustainable Future*, S. 1665–1744. Online verfügbar unter <https://portal.research.lu.se/en/publications/policies-for-the-energy-technology-innovation-system-etis>.
- Atkinson, Robert D. (2014): Understanding the U.S. National Innovation System. Information Technology and Innovation Foundation (ITIF).
- Atkinson, Robert D. (2020): Understanding the U.S. National Innovation System. Information Technology and Innovation Foundation (ITIF). Online verfügbar unter <https://itif.org/publications/2020/11/02/understanding-us-national-innovation-system-2020/>.
- Azoulay, Pierre; Fuchs, Erica; Goldstein, Anna P.; Kearney, Michael (2019): Funding Breakthrough Research: Promises and Challenges of the “ARPA Model”. In: *Innovation Policy and the Economy* 19, S. 69–96. DOI: 10.1086/699933.
- Barton Cunningham, J.; Kempling, James S. (2009): Implementing change in public sector organizations. In: *Management Decision* 47 (2), S. 330–344. DOI: 10.1108/00251740910938948.
- Bauer, Michael W. (2024): Public Administration Under Populist Rule: Standing Up Against Democratic Backsliding. In: *International Journal of Public Administration* 47 (15), S. 1019–1031. DOI: 10.1080/01900692.2023.2243400.
- Bauer, Michael W.; Peters, B. Guy; Pierre, Jon; Yesilkagit, Kutsal; Becker, Stefan (Hg.) (2021): Democratic Backsliding and Public Administration: How Populists in Government Transform State Bureaucracies. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Benz, Arthur (2021): Policy change and innovation in multilevel governance. Cheltenham, UK, Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar Publishing (Policy, administrative and institutional change series).

Billups, Felice D. (2021): Qualitative Data Collection Tools: Design, Development, and Applications. 2455 Teller Road, Thousand Oaks California 91320: SAGE Publications, Inc.

Block, Fred; Keller, Matthew R.; Negoita, Marian (2020): Network Failure and the Evolution of the US Innovation System. In: *J Ind Compet Trade* 20 (2), S. 235–247. DOI: 10.1007/s10842-019-00324-1.

Bonvillian, William B. (2022): Industrial Innovation Policy in the United States. In: *ASTP* 6 (4), S. 315–411. DOI: 10.1561/110.00000026.

Bonvillian, William B.; van Atta, Richard (2011): ARPA-E and DARPA: Applying the DARPA model to energy innovation. In: *J Technol Transf* 36 (5), S. 469–513. DOI: 10.1007/s10961-011-9223-x.

Borrás, Susana; Edler, Jakob (2020): The roles of the state in the governance of socio-technical systems' transformation. In: *Research Policy* 49 (5), S. 103971. DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2020.103971.

Carleton, Tamara; Cockayne, William R. (2023): Inspired by DARPA: A Global Comparison of Radical Innovation Government Agencies. In: Tamara Carleton, Shaun West und William R. Cockayne (Hg.): *Inventing the Almost Impossible*. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland (Future of Business and Finance), S. 23–37.

Carleton, Tamara; West, Shaun; Cockayne, William R. (Hg.) (2023): *Inventing the Almost Impossible*. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland (Future of Business and Finance).

chanda, armstrong: article project Key Methods Used in Qualitative Document Analysis.

Chiappinelli, Olga; Giuffrida, Leonardo M.; Spagnolo, Giancarlo (2025): Public procurement as an innovation policy: Where do we stand? In: *International Journal of Industrial Organization* 100, S. 103157. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijindorg.2025.103157.

Chirico, Francesco; Nordqvist, Mattias (2010): Dynamic capabilities and trans-generational value creation in family firms: The role of organizational culture. In: *International Small Business Journal: Researching Entrepreneurship* 28 (5), S. 487–504. DOI: 10.1177/0266242610370402.

Cooper, Cary L. (Hg.) (2014): *Wiley international encyclopedia of management*. 3rd ed. Chichester: Wiley.

Corley, Kevin G.; Gioia, Dennis A. (2004): Identity Ambiguity and Change in the Wake of a Corporate Spin-off. In: *Administrative Science Quarterly* 49 (2), S. 173–208. DOI: 10.2307/4131471.

Daimer, Stephanie; Hufnagl, Miriam; Warnke, Philine (2012): Challenge-Oriented Policy-Making and Innovation Systems Theory: Reconsidering Systemic Instruments. In: Fraunhofer ISI (Hg.): *Innovation System Revisited - Experiences from 40 Years of Fraunhofer ISI Research*. Stuttgart: Fraunhofer Verlag, S. 217–234.

Dallago, Bruno; Casagrande, Sara (2022): *The Routledge Handbook of Comparative Economic Systems*. London: Routledge.

Daneke, Gregory A. (1998): Beyond Schumpeter: Nonlinear economics and the evolution of the U.S. innovation system. In: *The Journal of Socio-Economics* 27 (1), S. 97–115. DOI: 10.1016/S1053-5357(99)80079-1.

Dreher, Carsten; Schwäbe, Carsten; Kovac, Martina; Voss, Fabio (2024): How to govern mission-oriented innovation policy – The case of the German Energy Research Program. In: *EUSPRI 2024 Conference Paper*.

Ebneyamini, Shiva; Sadeghi Moghadam, Mohammad Reza (2018): Toward Developing a Framework for Conducting Case Study Research. In: *International Journal of Qualitative Methods* 17 (1), Artikel 1609406918817954. DOI: 10.1177/1609406918817954.

Edmondson, Duncan L.; Kern, Florian; Rogge, Karoline S. (2019): The co-evolution of policy mixes and socio-technical systems: Towards a conceptual framework of policy mix feedback in sustainability transitions. In: *Research Policy* 48 (10), S. 103555. DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2018.03.010.

Eilperin, Juliet (2017): Resistance from within: Federal workers push back against Trump. In: *The Washington Post*, 01.02.2017. Online verfügbar unter https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/resistance-from-within-federal-workers-push-back-against-trump/2017/01/31/c65b110e-e7cb-11e6-b82f-687d6e6a3e7c_story.html?_pml=1, zuletzt geprüft am 13.11.2024.

Eisenhardt, Kathleen M.; Martin, Jeffrey A. (2000): Dynamic Capabilities: What Are They? In: *Strategic Management Journal* 21 (10/11), S. 1105–1121. Online verfügbar unter <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3094429>.

Etzkowitz, Henry (2003): Innovation in Innovation: The Triple Helix of University-Industry-Government Relations. In: *Social Science Information* 42 (3), S. 293–337. DOI: 10.1177/05390184030423002.

Farber, Dan (2019): ARPA-E: Surviving and Thriving Despite Trump. In: *Legal Planet*, 09.05.2019. Online verfügbar unter <https://legal-planet.org/2019/05/09/arpa-e-surviving-and-thriving-despite-trump/>, zuletzt geprüft am 12.11.2024.

Fleming, Scott; McNamee, Mike (2005): The ethics of corporate governance in public sector organizations. In: *Public Management Review* 7 (1), S. 135–144. DOI: 10.1080/1471903042000339455.

Flick, Uwe (2014): *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Data Analysis*. 1 Oliver's Yard, 55 City Road London EC1Y 1SP: SAGE Publications, Inc.

Flick, Uwe (2018): *The SAGE handbook of qualitative data collection*. Los Angeles, London, New Delhi, Singapore, Washington DC, Melbourne: SAGE reference.

Flick, Uwe (Hg.) (2018): *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Data Collection*. 1 Oliver's Yard, 55 City Road London EC1Y 1SP: SAGE Publications Ltd.

Flick, Uwe (Hg.) (2018): *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Data Collection*. 1 Oliver's Yard, 55 City Road London EC1Y 1SP: SAGE Publications Ltd.

Flick, Uwe (2018): Triangulation in Data Collection. In: Uwe Flick (Hg.): *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Data Collection*. 1 Oliver's Yard, 55 City Road London EC1Y 1SP: SAGE Publications Ltd, S. 527–544.

Flyvbjerg, Bent (2006): Five Misunderstandings About Case-Study Research. In: *Qualitative Inquiry* 12 (2), S. 219–245. DOI: 10.1177/1077800405284363.

Fraunhofer ISI (Hg.) (2012): *Innovation System Revisited - Experiences from 40 Years of Fraunhofer ISI Research*. Stuttgart: Fraunhofer Verlag.

Frietsch, Rainer (Hg.) (2008): *New Challenges for Germany in the Innovation Competition*. Fraunhofer ISI. Online verfügbar unter <https://publica.fraunhofer.de/handle/publica/293943>.

Geels, Frank W. (2010): Ontologies, socio-technical transitions (to sustainability), and the multi-level perspective. In: *Research Policy* 39 (4), S. 495–510. DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2010.01.022.

Geels, Frank W. (2020): Transformative innovation and socio-technical transitions to address grand challenges: Publications Office.

Ghazinoory, Sepehr; Ranjbar, Alireza; Saheb, Tahereh Sonia (2024): Evolution of the STIP literature: discovering the growing role of innovation governance concepts. In: *Science and Public Policy* 51 (1), S. 162–177. DOI: 10.1093/scipol/scad061.

Gioia, Dennis A.; Corley, Kevin G.; Hamilton, Aimee L. (2013): Seeking Qualitative Rigor in Inductive Research. In: *Organizational Research Methods* 16 (1), S. 15–31. DOI: 10.1177/1094428112452151.

Greenhalgh, Trisha; Robert, Glenn; Macfarlane, Fraser; Bate, Paul; Kyriakidou, Olympia; Peacock, Richard (2005): Storylines of research in diffusion of innovation: a meta-narrative approach to systematic review. In: *Social science & medicine* (1982) 61 (2), S. 417–430. DOI: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.12.001.

Gross, Daniel P.; Sampat, Bhaven N. (2023): AMERICA, JUMP-STARTED: WORLD WAR II R&D AND THE TAKEOFF OF THE U.S. INNOVATION SYSTEM. In: *NBER WORKING PAPER SERIES*. Online verfügbar unter <http://www.nber.org/papers/w27375>.

Guedes-Neto, João V. (2023): Do You Love Me? The Effects of Budget Cuts on Intrinsic Motivation in the U.S. Federal Government. In: *Proceedings 2023* (1), Artikel 13002. DOI: 10.5465/AMPROC.2023.13002abstract.

Gullmark, Petter (2021): Do All Roads Lead to Innovativeness? A Study of Public Sector Organizations' Innovation Capabilities. In: *The American Review of Public Administration* 51 (7), S. 509–525. DOI: 10.1177/02750740211010464.

Haddad, Carolina R.; Nakić, Valentina; Bergek, Anna; Hellsmark, Hans (2022): Transformative innovation policy: A systematic review. In: *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions* 43, S. 14–40. DOI: 10.1016/j.eist.2022.03.002.

Haley, Brendan (2017): Designing the public sector to promote sustainability transitions: Institutional principles and a case study of ARPA-E. In: *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions* 25, S. 107–121. DOI: 10.1016/j.eist.2017.01.002.

Harrison, Graeme L.; Baird, Kevin M. (2015): The organizational culture of public sector organizations in Australia. In: *Australian Journal of Management* 40 (4), S. 613–629. DOI: 10.1177/0312896214529440.

Hassan, Qusay; Algburi, Sameer; Sameen, Aws Zuhair; Salman, Hayder M.; Jaszczur, Marek (2024): Green hydrogen: A pathway to a sustainable energy future. In: *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 50, S. 310–333. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2023.08.321.

Hekkert, Marko P.; Janssen, Matthijs J.; Wesseling, Joeri H.; Negro, Simona O. (2020): Mission-oriented innovation systems. In: *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions* 34, S. 76–79. DOI: 10.1016/j.eist.2019.11.011.

Henry, Marvin; Kirchherr, Julian; Raven, Rob; Hekkert, Marko (2024): Bottom-up dynamics in circular innovation systems: The perspective of circular start-ups. In: *J of Industrial Ecology* 28 (2), S. 320–338. DOI: 10.1111/jiec.13468.

Hirschhausen, Christian von (2018): German Energy and Climate Policies: A Historical Overview. In: Christian von Hirschhausen, Clemens Gerbaulet, Claudia Kemfert, Casimir Lorenz und Pao-Yu Oei (Hg.): *Energiewende "Made in Germany"*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, S. 17–44.

Hirschhausen, Christian von; Gerbaulet, Clemens; Kemfert, Claudia; Lorenz, Casimir; Oei, Pao-Yu (Hg.) (2018): Energiewende "Made in Germany". Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Hommel, Carla; Mattes, Anselm; Triebe, Doreen (2010): Research and Innovation Policy in the U.S. and Germany: A Comparison. DIW. Online verfügbar unter https://www.diw.de/documents/dokumentenarchiv/17/diw_01.c.532164.de/research_innovation_policy.pdf.

Kaiser, Markus (2021): Change Management im Public Sector. Kulturwandel, Kommunikation und Change Leadership in Kommunen und Behörden. Wiesbaden, Heidelberg: Springer VS (essentials).

Kang, Daekook; Jang, Wooseok; Kim, Yoonjo; Jeon, Jeonghwan (2019): Comparing National Innovation System among the USA, Japan, and Finland to Improve Korean Deliberation Organization for National Science and Technology Policy. In: *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity* 5 (4), S. 82. DOI: 10.3390/joitmc5040082.

Kattel, Rainer (2022): Dynamic capabilities of the public sector Towards. In: *UCL Institute for Innovation and Public Purpose, Working Paper Series*.

Kattel, Rainer (2024): Past, present and future of innovation agencies in Europe. In: *Working Paper Series (IIP WP)*. Online verfügbar unter <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/bartlett/public-purpose/wp2024-12>.

Kattel, Rainer; Mazzucato, Mariana (2018): Mission-oriented innovation policy and dynamic capabilities in the public sector. In: *Industrial and Corporate Change* 27 (5), S. 787–801. DOI: 10.1093/icc/dty032.

Kattel, Rainer; Mazzucato, Mariana; Collington, Rosie; Fernandez-Monge, Fernando; Gronchi, Iacopo; Puttick, Ruth (2024): Public sector capacity matters, but what is it? - UCL IIPP Blog - Medium. In: *UCL IIPP Blog*, 01.03.2024. Online verfügbar unter <https://medium.com/iipp-blog/public-sector-capacity-matters-but-what-is-it-ff1f8332bc34>, zuletzt geprüft am 25.11.2024.

Keller, Matthew R.; Block, Fred (2013): Explaining the transformation in the US innovation system: the impact of a small government program. In: *Socio-Economic Review* 11 (4), S. 629–656. DOI: 10.1093/ser/mws021.

Keller, Rose (2024): Eroding the Bedrock: The Future of Public Administration Without. In: *Honors Projects*. Online verfügbar unter <https://digitalcommons.bowdoin.edu/honorsprojects/526>.

Knell, Mark (2021): The Main Science, Technology and Innovation Policy Actors in the United States. Nordic Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research and Education. Online verfügbar unter <https://www.fpol.no/the-main-science-technology-and-innovation-policy-actors-in-the-united-states-stil/>, zuletzt aktualisiert am 25.03.2021, zuletzt geprüft am 05.04.2024.

Kok, Kristiaan P.W.; Klerkx, Laurens (2023): Addressing the politics of mission-oriented agricultural innovation systems. In: *Agricultural Systems* 211, S. 103747. DOI: 10.1016/j.agsy.2023.103747.

Kotter, John P. (1996): Leading change. 1. print. Boston, Mass.: Harvard Business School Press.

Kotter, John P.; Akhtar, Vanessa; Gupta, Gaurav (2021): Change. first edition. United States of America: John Wiley & Sons P&T. Online verfügbar unter <https://resolver.vitalsource.com/9781119815884>.

Kuhlmann, Stefan; Stegmaier, Peter; Konrad, Kornelia (2019): The tentative governance of emerging science and technology—A conceptual introduction. In: *Research Policy* 48 (5), S. 1091–1097. DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2019.01.006.

Lord, Christopher; Bursens, Peter; Bièvre, Dirk de; Trondal, Jarle; Wessel, Ramses A. (Hg.) (2022): The politics of legitimation in the European Union. Legitimacy recovered? London, New York, NY: Routledge Taylor & Francis (Routledge/UACES contemporary European studies).

Lotta, Gabriela; Fernandez, Michelle; Pedote, João Paschoal; Guaranha, Olivia Landi Corrales; Silveira, Mariana Costa; Lima, Iana Alves de (2024): Action and Reaction: How Bureaucrats Cope with Different Forms of Political Oppression. In: Michelle Morais de Sá e Silva und Alexandre de Ávila Gomide (Hg.): *Public Policy in Democratic Backsliding*. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland (International Series on Public Policy), S. 285–310.

Lynggaard, Kennet; Manners, Ian; Löfgren, Karl (Hg.) (2015): *Research Methods in European Union Studies*. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK.

Maggetti, Martino (2015): Hard and Soft Governance. In: Kennet Lynggaard, Ian Manners und Karl Löfgren (Hg.): *Research Methods in European Union Studies*. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK, S. 252–265.

Martin, Joanne (2001): *Organizational culture. Mapping the terrain*. Thousand Oaks, Calif. u.a: Sage Publications (Foundations for organizational science).

Matos, Stelvia V.; Schleper, Martin C.; Hall, Jeremy K.; Baum, Chad M.; Low, Sean; Sovacool, Benjamin K. (2024): Beyond the new normal for sustainability: transformative operations and supply chain management for negative emissions. In: *IJOPM* 44 (13), S. 263–295. DOI: 10.1108/IJOPM-06-2024-0487.

Mazzucato, Mariana (2017): Mission-oriented Innovation Policy: Challenges and Opportunities. In: *UCL Institute for Innovation and Public Purpose Working Paper*. Online verfügbar unter <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/bartlett/public-purpose/wp2017-01>.

McDonough, Peggy; Polzer, Jessica (2012): Habitus, Hysteresis and Organizational Change in the Public Sector. In: *Can. J. Soc.* 37 (4), S. 357–380. DOI: 10.29173/cjs11266.

Meissner, Dirk (2006): Private Sector Interaction in the Decision Making Processes of Public Research Policies. Country Profile: United States. Online verfügbar unter https://ec.europa.eu/invest-in-research/pdf/download_en/psi_countryprofile_usa.pdf.

Melaas, Aaron; Zhang, Fang (2016): National Innovation Systems in the United States and China. A Brief Review of the Literature. ENERGY, CLIMATE, AND INNOVATION PROGRAM, THE FLETCHER SCHOOL, TUFTS UNIVERSITY.

Miles, Matthew B.; Huberman, A. Michael; Saldaña, Johnny (2014): *Qualitative data analysis. A methods sourcebook*. Edition 3. Los Angeles, London, New Delhi, Singapore, Washington DC: SAGE.

Misoch, Sabina (2015): *Qualitative Interviews*: De Gruyter.

Moll, Elizabeth (2018): Congress Rejects Trump's Dismantling of Clean Energy Funding, 28.03.2018. Online verfügbar unter <https://www.nrdc.org/bio/elizabeth-noll/congress-rejects-trumps-dismantling-clean-energy-funding>, zuletzt geprüft am 14.11.2024.

Morais de Sá e Silva, Michelle; Ávila Gomide, Alexandre de (Hg.) (2024): *Public Policy in Democratic Backsliding*. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland (International Series on Public Policy).

Mousavi, Seyedesmaeil; Bossink, Bart A.G. (2017): Firms' capabilities for sustainable innovation: The case of biofuel for aviation. In: *Journal of Cleaner Production* 167, S. 1263–1275. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.146.

Mowery, David C. (1996): The U.S. National Innovation System: Recent Developments in Structure and Knowledge Flows.

Mowery, David C. (1998): The changing structure of the US national innovation system: implications for international conflict and cooperation in R&D policy. In: *Research Policy* 27 (6), S. 639–654. DOI: 10.1016/S0048-7333(98)00060-2.

Moynihan, Donald (2021): Populism and the Deep State: The Attack on Public Service Under Trump. In: Michael W. Bauer, B. Guy Peters, Jon Pierre, Kutsal Yesilkagit und Stefan Becker (Hg.): *Democratic Backsliding and Public Administration: How Populists in Government Transform State Bureaucracies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, S. 151–177. Online verfügbar unter <https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/75B30E82BD9BE2041EE22B20A60852DD>.

Moynihan, Donald P. (2022): Public Management for Populists: Trump's Schedule F Executive Order and the Future of the Civil Service. In: *Public Administration Review* 82 (1), S. 174–178. DOI: 10.1111/puar.13433.

Myles, John (1989): Introduction Understanding Canada: comparative political economy perspectives. In: *Canadian Review of Sociology* 26 (1), S. 1–9. DOI: 10.1111/j.1755-618X.1989.tb00410.x.

Namdarian, Leila; Sharifzadeh, Rahman; Khedmatgozar, Hamid Reza (2022): Ethics in Science and Technology Policy-Making: A Proposed Normative Framework. In: *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society* 42 (4), S. 117–132. DOI: 10.1177/02704676221137307.

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2017): *An Assessment of ARPA-E*. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press.

Nelson, Richard; Winter, Sidney (1974): Neoclassical vs. Evolutionary Theories of Economic Growth: Critique and Prospectus. In: *Economic Journal* 84 (336), S. 886–905. Online verfügbar unter https://econpapers.repec.org/article/ecjeconjl/v_3a84_3ay_3a1974_3ai_3a336_3ap_3a886-905.htm.

Nelson, Richard R.; Winter, Sidney G. (1982): *An Evolutionary Theory of Economic Change*.

Nelson, Richard R.; Winter, Sidney G. (1985): *An evolutionary theory of economic change*. digitally reprinted. Cambridge, Mass.: The Belknap Press of Harvard Univ. Press.

Nguyen, Trang Thu; Berman, Evan M.; Plimmer, Geoff; Samartini, Andre; Sabharwal, Meghna; Taylor, Jeannette (2022): Enriching Transactional Leadership with Public Values. In: *Public Administration Review* 82 (6), S. 1058–1076. DOI: 10.1111/puar.13495.

Nikolova, Blagovesta I. (2024): From responsibility to risk: ethics in the Bermuda Triangle of EU research and innovation policy. In: *Science and Public Policy* 51 (2), S. 207–217. DOI: 10.1093/scipol/scad066.

Norman K. Denzin & Yvonna S. Lincoln: *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research*.

OECD (2024): *Mission-Oriented Innovation Policies for Net Zero*: OECD.

P. Shapira; Y. Youtie; R. Frietsch; M. Schüller (2010): The Innovation System and Innovation Policy in the United States. In: *Competing for Global Innovation Leadership: Innovation Systems and Policies in the USA, EU and Asia*, S. 5–20. Online verfügbar unter

<https://research.manchester.ac.uk/en/publications/the-innovation-system-and-innovation-policy-in-the-united-states>.

Pamela Baxter and Susan Jack (2008): *Qualitative Case Study Methodology: Study Design and Implementation for Novice Researchers*.

Patterson, James; Schulz, Karsten; Vervoort, Joost; van der Hel, Sandra; Widerberg, Oscar; Adler, Carolina et al. (2017): Exploring the governance and politics of transformations towards sustainability. In: *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions* 24, S. 1–16. DOI: 10.1016/j.eist.2016.09.001.

Patwardhan, Anand Prabhakar; Gomez-Echeverri, Luis; Nakićenović, Nebojša; Johansson, Thomas B. (Hg.) (2012): *Global Energy Assessment (GEA)*. International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis. Cambridge, Laxenburg, Austria: Cambridge University Press; International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis. Online verfügbar unter <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=465753>.

Peng, Yao-Ping; Lin, Ku-Ho (2017): The effect of global dynamic capabilities on internationalizing SMEs performance. In: *BJM* 12 (3), S. 307–328. DOI: 10.1108/BJM-09-2016-0199.

Piening, Erk P. (2011): Insights into the Process Dynamics of Innovation Implementation. In: *Public Management Review* 13 (1), S. 127–157. DOI: 10.1080/14719037.2010.501615.

Piening, Erk P. (2013): Dynamic Capabilities in Public Organizations. In: *Public Management Review* 15 (2), S. 209–245. DOI: 10.1080/14719037.2012.708358.

Plumer, Brad (2017): Scientists Praise Energy Innovation Office Trump Wants to Shut Down. In: *The New York Times*, 13.06.2017. Online verfügbar unter <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/06/13/climate/arpa-e-national-academy-sciences.html>, zuletzt geprüft am 14.11.2024.

Popp, David (2020): Promoting Clean Energy Innovation at the State and Local Level. In: *Agric. Resour. Econom. Rev.* 49 (2), S. 360–373. DOI: 10.1017/age.2020.15.

Rapley, Tim (2018): *Doing conversation, discourse and document analysis*. 2nd edition. Los Angeles: SAGE (The SAGE qualitative research kit).

Reichardt, Kristin; Rogge, Karoline S.; Negro, Simona O. (2017): Unpacking policy processes for addressing systemic problems in technological innovation systems: The case of offshore wind in Germany. In: *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 80, S. 1217–1226. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.280.

Ridder, Hans-Gerd (2017): The theory contribution of case study research designs. In: *Bus Res* 10 (2), S. 281–305. DOI: 10.1007/s40685-017-0045-z.

Rogge, Karoline S.; Reichardt, Kristin (2016): Policy mixes for sustainability transitions: An extended concept and framework for analysis. In: *Research Policy* 45 (8), S. 1620–1635. DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2016.04.004.

Roulston, Kathryn; Choi, Myungweon (2018): Qualitative Interviews. In: Uwe Flick (Hg.): *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Data Collection*. 1 Oliver's Yard, 55 City Road London EC1Y 1SP: SAGE Publications Ltd, S. 233–249.

Roulston, Kathryn J. (Hg.) (2010): *Reflective Interviewing. A Guide to Theory and Practice*. London: Sage Publications.

Saldaña, Johnny (2013): *The coding manual for qualitative researchers*. 2nd ed. Los Angeles: SAGE.

Schein, Edgar H. (1990): Organizational culture. In: *American Psychologist* 45 (2), S. 109–119. DOI: 10.1037/0003-066X.45.2.109.

Schot, Johan; Steinmueller, W. Edward (2018): Three frames for innovation policy: R&D, systems of innovation and transformative change. In: *Research Policy* 47 (9), S. 1554–1567. DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2018.08.011.

Schultz, David (2017): Public Administration in the Age of Trump. In: *Journal of Public Affairs Education* 23 (1), S. 557–562. DOI: 10.1080/15236803.2017.12002268.

Schultz, David (2022): Public Policy and Administration in the Age of Donald Trump. In: *Central European Journal of Public Policy* 16 (1), S. 14–26. DOI: 10.2478/cejpp-2022-0002.

Sepper, Ruth; Alas, Ruth (2008): ROLE OF POLITICS IN PUBLIC SECTOR ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE. In: *Journal of Business Economics and Management* 9 (1), S. 13–23. DOI: 10.3846/1611-1699.2008.9.13-23.

Shaikh, Ibrahim A.; Randhawa, Krithika (2022): Industrial R&D and national innovation policy: an institutional reappraisal of the US national innovation system. In: *Industrial and Corporate Change* 31 (5), S. 1152–1176. DOI: 10.1093/icc/dtac019.

Shapiro, Stuart (2023): Trump and the Bureaucrats. The Fate of Neutral Competence. 1st ed. 2023. Cham: Springer International Publishing; Imprint Springer.

Silverman, David (2004): Qualitative Research_ Theory, Method and Practice (2004, Sage Publications Ltd) - libgen.li-1.

Simons, Kenneth L.; Walls, Judith L. (2014): Usa's National Innovation System. In: Cary L. Cooper (Hg.): Wiley international encyclopedia of management. 3rd ed. Chichester: Wiley, S. 1–32.

Skjott Linneberg, Mai; Korsgaard, Steffen (2019): Coding qualitative data: a synthesis guiding the novice. In: *QRJ* 19 (3), S. 259–270. DOI: 10.1108/QRJ-12-2018-0012.

Skocpol, Theda (Hg.) (1984): Vision and Method in Historical Sociology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Skocpol, Theda (2012): Emerging Agendas and Recurrent Strategies in Historical Sociology. In: Theda Skocpol (Hg.): Vision and Method in Historical Sociology: Cambridge University Press, S. 356–391.

Skocpol, Theda (Hg.) (2012): Vision and Method in Historical Sociology: Cambridge University Press.

Sørensen, Eva; Ansell, Christopher (2023): Towards a Concept of Political Robustness. In: *Political Studies* 71 (1), S. 69–88. DOI: 10.1177/0032321721999974.

Sørensen, Eva; Torfing, Jacob (2024): The ideational robustness of bureaucracy. In: *Policy and Society* 43 (2), S. 141–158. DOI: 10.1093/polsoc/puae015.

Sovacool, Benjamin K.; Axsen, Jonn; Sorrell, Steve (2018): Promoting novelty, rigor, and style in energy social science: Towards codes of practice for appropriate methods and research design. In: *Energy Research & Social Science* 45, S. 12–42. DOI: 10.1016/j.erss.2018.07.007.

Sovacool, Benjamin K.; Hess, David J. (2017): Ordering theories: Typologies and conceptual frameworks for sociotechnical change. In: *Social studies of science* 47 (5), S. 703–750. DOI: 10.1177/0306312717709363.

Spanó, Eduardo; Monnerat, Rafael; Pacheco, Carlos Américo; Bonacelli, Maria Beatriz Machado (2024): Legal–institutional design and dynamic capabilities for mission-oriented

innovation agencies: a new framework. In: *Science and Public Policy* 51 (2), S. 192–206. DOI: 10.1093/scipol/scad060.

Spanó, Eduardo; Monnerat, Rafael; Pacheco, Carlos Américo; Bonacelli, Maria Beatriz Machado (2024): Legal–institutional design and dynamic capabilities for mission-oriented innovation agencies: a new framework. In: *Science and Public Policy* 51 (2), S. 192–206. DOI: 10.1093/scipol/scad060.

Stevens, Kelly A.; Tang, Tian; Hittinger, Eric (2023): Innovation in complementary energy technologies from renewable energy policies. In: *Renewable Energy* 209, S. 431–441. DOI: 10.1016/j.renene.2023.03.115.

Teece, David J. (2007): Explicating dynamic capabilities: the nature and microfoundations of (sustainable) enterprise performance. In: *Strategic Management Journal* 28 (13), S. 1319–1350. DOI: 10.1002/smj.640.

Thrower, Sharece (2018): Policy Disruption Through Regulatory Delay in the Trump Administration. In: *Pres Stud Q* 48 (3), S. 517–536. DOI: 10.1111/psq.12473.

Tilly, Charles (1984): Big structures, large processes, huge comparisons. New York: Russell Sage Foundation (Russell Sage Foundation 75th anniversary series). Online verfügbar unter <http://gbv.ebib.com/patron/FullRecord.aspx?p=4417091>.

Tilly, Charles (1984): Big structures, large processes, huge comparisons. New York: Russell Sage Foundation (Russell Sage Foundation 75th anniversary series).

Tönurist, Piret (2023): 13 reasons why missions fail. In: *Observatory of Public Sector Innovation*, 13.12.2023. Online verfügbar unter <https://oecd-opsi.org/blog/13-reasons-why-missions-fail/>, zuletzt geprüft am 24.11.2024.

Trondal, Jarle; Riddervold, Marianne; Newsome, Akasemi (2022): Crisis, Covid-19, and the Governing of Resilience in the European Union. In: Christopher Lord, Peter Bursens, Dirk de Bièvre, Jarle Trondal und Ramses A. Wessel (Hg.): The politics of legitimation in the European Union. Legitimacy recovered? London, New York, NY: Routledge Taylor & Francis (Routledge/UACES contemporary European studies), S. 265–280.

van Biljon, Peet (2022): Innovation for Value and Mission. An Introduction to Innovation Management and Policy. Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter (Business & economics). Online verfügbar unter <https://www.degruyter.com/isbn/9783110711066>.

van de Burgwal, Linda; van der Valk, Tom; Kempter, Hannes; Gadau, Manuel; Stubbs, David; Boon, Wouter (2023): An elephant in the glasshouse? Trade-offs between acceleration and transformation in COVID-19 vaccine innovation policies. In: *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions* 48, S. 100736. DOI: 10.1016/j.eist.2023.100736.

Wachs, Liz; McMillan, Colin; Reese, Samantha Bench (2023): Reviewing flexibility in industrial electrification: Focusing on green ammonia and steel in the United States. In: *Energy Research & Social Science* 103, S. 103202. DOI: 10.1016/j.erss.2023.103202.

Ward, James D. (Hg.) (2017): Leadership and change in public sector organizations. Beyond reform. New York: Routledge. Online verfügbar unter <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9781315209289>.

Watson, Anna (2022): Designing publicly funded organisations for accelerated low carbon innovation: A case study of the ETI, UK and ARPA-E, US. In: *Energy Policy* 168, S. 113088. DOI: 10.1016/j.enpol.2022.113088.

Weber, K. Matthias; Rohrer, Harald (2012): Legitimizing research, technology and innovation policies for transformative change. In: *Research Policy* 41 (6), S. 1037–1047. DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2011.10.015.

Weber, Matthias; Biegelbauer, Peter; Brodnik, Christoph; Dachs, Bernhard; Dreher, Carsten; Kovac, Martina et al. (2021): Agilität in der F&I-Politik Konzept, Definition, Operationalisierung. In: *Studie zum deutschen Innovationssystem Nr. 8-2021*.

White, Leroy (2000): Changing the “whole system” in the public sector. In: *Journal of Organizational Change Management* 13 (2), S. 162–177. DOI: 10.1108/09534810010321481.

Wiarda, Martijn; Janssen, Matthijs J.; Coenen, Tom B.J.; Doorn, Neelke (2024): Responsible mission governance: An integrative framework and research agenda. In: *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions* 50, S. 100820. DOI: 10.1016/j.eist.2024.100820.

winee: AURA1.pdf.

Woodall, Caleb M.; Fan, Zhiyuan; Lou, Yushan; Bhardwaj, Amar; Khatri, Amit; Agrawal, Mahak et al. (2022): Technology options and policy design to facilitate decarbonization of chemical manufacturing. In: *Joule* 6 (11), S. 2474–2499. DOI: 10.1016/j.joule.2022.10.006.

Wright, James E.; Thomas, Michael Blair (2023): The erosion of the administrative state under President Trump: Citizens' pushback against individual and institutional racism. In: *Public Administration Review* 83 (1), S. 210–214. DOI: 10.1111/puar.13515.

Yesilkagit, Kutsal; Bauer, Michael; Peters, B. Guy; Pierre, Jon (2024): The Guardian State: Strengthening the public service against democratic backsliding. In: *Public Administration Review* 84 (3), S. 414–425. DOI: 10.1111/puar.13808.

Yin, Robert K. (2018): Case study research and applications. Design and methods. Sixth edition. Los Angeles, Calif.: SAGE.

Yin, Robert K. (2018): Case study research and applications. Design and methods. Sixth edition. Los Angeles: SAGE.

Zhang, Cuihong; Wang, Chang; Geng, Hongjun; Liu, Ning (2024): A tale of two cities: mission-oriented innovation policy in China's green industries. In: *Industry and Innovation* 31 (3), S. 352–376. DOI: 10.1080/13662716.2023.2271859.